

118

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W.P. No. 4247 of 2004

Petitioners :

1. Santoshi Sahu, aged about 34 years, wife of Shri Gangaram Sahu, President, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, resident of Basdei, Tah. Surajpur, Distt. Surguja. (Chhattisgarh)
2. U.S. Singh Deo, son of Late Shri Chandikeshwar Sharan Singh Deo, aged about 62 years, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
3. O.P. Agrawal, son of Shri Chandagi Ram Agrawal, aged about 54 years, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
4. T.S. Singhdeo, aged about 52 years, son of Shri M.S. Singhdeo, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
5. K.K. Singh, aged about 48 years, son of Shri Ambikeshwar Sharan Singhdeo, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
6. Rameshwar Pratap Singh, aged about 46 years, son of Shri S.B. Singhdeo, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
7. Ramesh Singh, aged about 45 years, son of Shri Ram Singh, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
8. Ram Pravesh Yadav, aged about 45 years, son of Shri Hardeo Yadav, Director, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Co-operative Society, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
2. The Registrar, Co-operative Society, Raipur (C.G.)



3. The Additional Registrar, Co-operative Society,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Collector, Surguja (CG)

5. Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur,
through : Its C.E.O., Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank
Maryadit, Surguja (Chhattisgarh).

Present: Mr. P. Tiwary, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the
State/respondent No. 1 to 4.
Mr. B.D. Guru, Advocate for the respondent No. 5.

ORDER

(Passed on this 16th Day of March, 2007)

1. Leaned counsel appearing for the petitioners, on instructions,
seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition, to which
learned counsel appearing for the other side has no objection. It
is ordered accordingly.
2. Thus, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to
costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash